

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RACHEL JONES,
Plaintiff,

v.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE,
CRAIG ACORD AND MIKE TINNENY,
Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 16-4205

O R D E R

AND NOW, this 21st day of December, 2016, upon consideration Defendants Pennsylvania State Police (“PSP”) and Mike Tinneny’s [ECF No. 19] Partial Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint, and the opposition thereto [ECF No. 20], **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART** as follows:

- (1) The motion to dismiss the PHRA claims against the PSP is **GRANTED**. Count Two is **DISMISSED** against the PSP.
- (2) The motion to dismiss the Title VII and PHRA disparate treatment sex discrimination claims against the PSP and Tinneny is **GRANTED**. The disparate treatment claims in Count One and Count Two are **DISMISSED**.
- (3) The motion to dismiss the Title VII retaliation claims against the PSP and Tinneny, and the remaining PHRA retaliation claim against Tinneny is **DENIED**.
- (4) The motion to dismiss the Title VII hostile work environment claims against the PSP and Tinneny, and the remaining PHRA hostile work environment claims against Tinneny is **DENIED**.
- (5) The motion to dismiss the PHRA accomplice claims against Tinneny is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ **Wendy Beetlestone**

WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.